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Background

Strickland Administration – Workforce/Economic Development Joint Strategies

- CEO/Business Leader Meetings
- Effect on BRE and Attraction efforts and strategies
- Chicken and Egg Scenario – Workforce vs. Economic Development
- Interagency Dialogue: ODOD/ODJFS/OBOR
- Began to draw in ODE
- Created Cabinet Level OBOR Appointment
- Moved OTCs/ABLE
- Ohio Skills Bank Strategy (Chancellor Eric Fingerhut – “You need to be the solution to the phone calls I receive after the Governor visits with a business who can’t find prospective hires or finds under skilled hires.”)
Kasich Administration – Workforce Focus

- Same CEO/Business Leader Dialogue
- JobsOhio – with an element focused on incumbent worker skill delivery and closer education partnerships: economic development joint visits/strategy, executive pulse data, etc.
- Office of Workforce Transformation – no staff, enhance agency strategies and delivery (Handout – OWT Annual Report)
- ODE/OBOR Collaboration
- Monthly Meetings with WD professionals at colleges/universities
- First Assignment to ascertain talent needs – Failure (see handout)
- Inventory calls on hard to fill positions
- Initial focus on Workforce Investment Act (WIA) System
- Education is Delivery System – WIA is conveyor between points in time in a career path
The National Situation

- Unemployment Rate: November, 2013 – 7.0%
- 12 million Americans who are unemployed or underemployed.
- The most recent US recession began in the US in December 2007 and ended in June 2009 (span of 18 months)
- The global recession began in third quarter 2008 and ended in first quarter 2009 (three consecutive quarters based on data from 52 countries)
- The global recession resulted in: sharp drops in international trade, rising unemployment and slumping commodity prices
- Job Growth: In the post-World War II recession – 10 months for the economy to regain the jobs it had lost; Early 1990s recession – two years; 2000s – 3 ½ years
- October 2010 – 16 months after the official end of the recession, the economy still had 5.4% fewer jobs than it did before the recession started
- 17 million people have college degrees but are working in positions that do not require one

Ohio Employment

Ohio is the 34th largest, the 7th most populous, and the 10th most densely populated of the 50 United States

2013 November Unemployment Rate: 7.1% Not Seasonally Adjusted
7.4% Seasonally Adjusted

2012 November Unemployment Rate: 6.8%

Across 88 counties, unemployment rates ranged from a low of 4.4 percent in Mercer County to a high of 15.6 percent in Monroe County. Rates increased in 60 of the 88 counties statewide

Total Ohio Employment: 5,307,000,

Unemployed in Ohio in November: 427,000 (does not count discouraged workers, marginally employed, etc.)

Source: ODJFS, BLS
Ohio Sector Employment Figures – Gains and Losses

From November 2012 to November 2013:

- Goods-producing industries lost 2,100 jobs over the year
- Construction lost 5,200 jobs
- The private service-providing sector added 32,100 jobs over the year
- Employment decreased in information (-3,000), financial activities (-2,000), and other services (-100). Government lost 10,200 jobs in local (-8,000), federal (-1,800), and state (-400) government
- Manufacturing added 2,700 jobs in durable goods (+1,800) and non-durable goods (+900)
- Mining and logging gained 400 jobs
- Nonagricultural wage and salary employment increased 19,800
- Gains were posted in educational and health services (+15,800), trade, transportation, and utilities (+12,400), professional and business services (+8,800), and leisure and hospitality (+200).
Why a Workforce Focus?

Kasich Administration – “the critical connection between jobs and economic growth for the State of Ohio” made workforce transformation a top priority.

Increasing the Per Capita Income in Ohio
Skills Mismatch?

- Nearly 12 million U.S. workers are unemployed
- Businesses report nearly four million open jobs—jobs that cannot be filled by previously displaced workers because of gaps in skills

A recent OECD study that evaluated work-based skills taught in schools in 29 countries found that the U.S. ranked dead last.

Source: BLS, OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) Skills Mismatch, Survey of Adult Skills in the US
Skill mismatch is one of the main challenges faced by economies. Empirical evidence shows that, in far too many cases, workers are not well-matched with their current jobs.

Most of the academic and policy analysis on mismatch to date have focused on qualification rather than skills because of data availability. Some analysis use indirect measures of skills mismatch, but few have been based on direct measures.

The Programme for the International Adult Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIAAC) will provide a direct measurement of skills, as well as measures of the use of those skills at work (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development)
What is behind the skills mismatch issue? – Changing Employer Expectations/Educator New Realities

- Aircraft Maintenance Workers and Excel
- Supply Chain Classes – from Logistics Degrees to Business Degrees
- 21st Century Skill Sets – communication, leadership, problem solving, conflict resolution, computer, social media. Able to pick up whatever skills are and well-adaptable, versatile.
  - Example: today’s IT worker
  - Education Thresholds: BioOhio Project
What is behind the skills mismatch issue? – Changing Employer Expectations/Educator New Realities

Ultimately, the purpose of a college education is not to get you a job and make you money, “Anthony Carnevale, Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce. “The purpose is to allow you to live more fully in your time.”

“To be able to get a better job”, number one reason why students were pursuing college degrees – American Freshman: National Norms Fall 2011 Survey conducted by the Cooperative Institutional Research Program at the University of California.

Five former and current students at Woodbury-based Globe University have filed a class-action lawsuit alleging the school misled them about their post-graduation employment prospects. The complaint alleges the school left students "deep in debt but without the professional opportunities promised."

Surveyed employers agreed that “a job candidate’s demonstrated capacity to think critically, communicate clearly and solve complex problems is more important than their undergraduate major.” Association of American Colleges & Universities.
What is behind the skills mismatch issue? - Employers

- Expectations rise in a hirer’s market, sometimes to absurd levels (experience only need apply) - Andrew Carnevale
- Receptionist – college degrees......”and those washing the cars”
- Only those currently working need apply
- Informing Competitive Pay Discussions: (Mfg./IT & Logistics –see poverty chart)
- Contributing Factors: Internal Career Ladders, disconnect on entry qualifications among businesses
What is behind the skills mismatch issue? – Available Labor Pool

- Ohio – large industrial base moving to industry targets of desired growth
- Non-credentialed workforce: diploma nurses, OJT, non-credit delivery vs. career ladder
- High School Drop Out Rate – 26,000 annually (virtually unchanged in 15 years)
- Where the jobs are versus industry impressions
What is behind the skills mismatch issue? – Student Equation

- Exposure to Careers (limited to what others know)
- Number of undecided students on our campuses
- Perception of Industries (where the jobs are) – manufacturing, insurance, logistics……your child……the image
- Perceptions of education institutions – Harvard and Jobs for the Future Pathways to Prosperity Project (community college, technical centers)
- Lack of internships, co-ops and apprentice opportunities (work experience requirement)
- No exposure to career assessments on “job fit” or “career interests” (my son)
- Inadequacies around math knowledge (increasing amount of new jobs that require that foundation)
- Inability to participate successfully in today’s job search
Solutions to the Puzzle - Important Role of Career Services

Average Graduate takes 7.4 months to secure a job in or out of field

NACE - Average Visits to the career Centers by Graduating Seniors:
27.2% - Never
16.1% - Once
18.2% - Twice
27.0% - Four to Six times
11.6% - 8 times

Heldrich - Unfulfilled Expectations: *Recent College Graduates Struggle in a Troubled Economy* - Less than 33% used their college career center

Adecco Graduate Survey
71% - wished they had done something different to prepare for the job market
26% - started earlier while in college
29% - more time networking
26% - applied for more jobs
43% - were currently working at a job that did not require a college degree
Important Role of Career Services (Job Search Strategies)

Cracking the Hidden Job Market - Donald Asher

• 2/3 of people who took a new position did not respond to an opening posted on the internet or anywhere
• 2/3 of hiring takes place through people to people conversations
• Only 2/3 of all jobs are ever advertised online
• More than 1/2 of all hiring is through the hidden job market
Important Role of Career Services (Social Media Profiles and Resumes)

2011 Jobvite survey on corporate use of Internet Strategies - 64% of businesses had successfully hired people through social networks

22 million members of the workforce found their most recent positions through social media up 7.7 from 2010

The Ladders Resume Survey of Hiring Managers/HR Professionals/Recruiters
Average Resume Review - 4 minutes was belief
Using eye tracing technology:
Name
Title/Company
Start/End date of jobs
Education
That took six seconds

Starbucks: 7.6 million resumes over 22 months for 65,000 corporate and retail job openings
Proctor & Gamble – 2 million applications in 12 months for 2,000 positions
Texas Roadhouse (350 locations nationwide) receives 400 resumes for a job opening within 24 hours of posting
Important Role of Career Services (Dos/Do Not)

700 Employer Survey of “Parents Engaged in Every Aspect of their Graduate's Employment” - Collegiate Employment Research Institute

6% advocating for promotion or salary increases
9% tried to negotiate salary and benefits
12% were involved in making interview arrangements
15% complained if the company did not hire a student
17% attended a career fair with a student
40% obtained information about companies for a student
Eric Spiegel (@ericspiegel) is the president and CEO of Siemens USA and the author of the 2009 book “Energy Shift: Game-changing Options for Fueling the Future.”

In order to ensure that students graduate with the skills needed for the jobs that exist, companies need to work with the education system, government and labor. These public-private partnerships are critical because they allow for the marriage of supply and demand. Businesses can communicate their immediate and anticipated needs so that educational institutions can develop programs to train students for the necessary skills.
1. Unified Workforce System Strategy
   a. K-12 Changes – ODE/OBOR Collaboration (one of several)

2. In-Demand Jobs/Forecasting – ODJFS
   a. Education Response - OBOR

3. Career Services – Pivotal role in changing career decision making dynamics and in job preparation – OBOR
   a. ODE – Career Connections (as one example)

4. Inventory – OBOR OWEN Project

5. Sector Strategies – OBOR (need to visit this topic at our next meeting)
InDemand – ODJFS Presentation
Methodology

• Level 1 – Statistical Baseline – LMI Projections

• Level 2 – Industry Drivers – Jobs Ohio Clusters

• Level 3 – Business Voice & Contextual Considerations

• Level 4 – Kick-Out Report & Analytical Judgment
In-Demand Occupations

Level 1

Labor Market Information (LMI) Projections

• A product of Projections Managing Partnership (PMP), a partnership is between (1) the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration (ETA); (2) the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); (3) the National Association of State Workforce Agencies (NASWA); and (4) the State Projections Consortium.

• Ten year projections produced every other year – see http://ohiolmi.com/proj/projections.htm.

http://ohiolmi.com/proj/projections.htm
In-Demand Occupations

Level 1

Labor Market Information (LMI) Projections

Continued

Three Criteria for In-demand

- Wage => $12.54 (80% of Ohio median wage)
- Annual Openings => 264
- Annual Growth => 104

NOTE: occupation had to meet wage criterion and then either the annual openings or growth criteria to be included in the In-Demand Report

98 Occupations
In-Demand Occupations

Level 2
JobsOhio Industry Clusters

Top occupations based on LMI criteria for the 11 JobsOhio industry clusters.

NOTE: only those occupations not already included from Level 1 were added to the In-Demand report.

Added 50 occupations
In-Demand Occupations

Level 3

2nd Tier Occupations

Business voice and contextual considerations – forecasted (Workforce Information Exchange – Jobs Forecast Survey) and met at least one of the following:

- Low wage =>$11.40 (90% of $12.54), but still met either annual openings or growth as noted before
- Certificate – occupations that require a certificate (as denoted by DOL)
In-Demand Occupations

Level 3

2nd Tier Occupations
Continued

• Regional Occupations:
  • Wage =>$12.54 (median wage)
  • Appalachia Region =>Annual Openings 20; Annual Growth 8
  • Cincinnati Region =>Annual Openings 50; Annual Growth 20
  • Cleveland Region =>Annual Openings 97; Annual Growth 37
  • Columbus Region =>Annual Openings 58; Annual Growth 25
  • Dayton Region =>Annual Openings 33; Annual Growth 15
  • Toledo Region =>Annual Openings 33; Annual Growth 14
In-Demand Occupations

Level 3

2\textsuperscript{nd} Tier Occupations

Continued

- OMJ job posting trends:
  - Wage => $11.40 (90\% of the $12.54)
  - State Annual Postings = >264
  - State Annual Growth = >104
In-Demand Occupations

Level 3
2nd Tier Occupations
Continued

• Removing Government Occupations
  • Those occupations for which greater than 50% utilization occurred in any level of government

Added 40 occupations (as of 11/27/2013). Ongoing based upon forecast submissions by Ohio employers.
In-Demand Occupations

Level 4

Kick-Out Report

**Data:** Forecasted (Workforce Information Exchange – Jobs Forecast Survey)

**Methodology:** Committee to review occupations that have been forecasted, however, do not meet any of the criteria established in Levels 1-3.

**Outcome:** Review committee has added one occupation (nurse practitioners) from the kick-out report to the in-demand list.

**In-Demand Report:** 189 occupations (ongoing – proposed monthly through forecast collection)
The in-demand occupations list includes 189 unique occupations drawn from the four levels of our methodology. These 189 occupations comprise over 17,000 related job titles. 146 of the 189 (77.25%) of these in-demand occupations have been forecast by the Ohio businesses which had completed the jobs forecast survey as of 11/27/2013.
Ohio Board of Regents

Career Services Survey

“Developing Students for Careers”

Ann Motayar, Director, Career Services Center
Kent State University
January 8, 2014
Overview & Structure

• Comprehensive, centralized career center
• Report to Enrollment Management in Division of Student Affairs
• Support KSU mission for students to “attain knowledge and skills for responsible citizenship and productive careers”
• At crossroads – need for culture shift to redefine expectations for college experience beyond retention to graduation
Recommendations

• Choose career first, then major
• Imbed career planning each college year
• Differentiate academic vs. career support
• Clarify centralized vs. decentralized services
• Accelerated degrees require earlier career conversations
• Incorporate career goal setting into high school curriculum
Observations

• Specialized populations require new resources and staff competencies
• Focus on “placement” transfers responsibility of hiring outcomes to career centers when ultimately students must “own” their job search
• Graduate outcomes and feedback = shared accountability for change
• New interest in measuring “workforce readiness” may impact the value of a college degree
Ohio Board of Regents  
Workforce Development - Career Landscape Survey  
January 8, 2014

Ann Motayar, Director, Career Services Center, Kent State University  
amotayar@kent.edu | 330-672-8372

As a centralized, comprehensive Career Services Center, reporting to the Division of Enrollment Management and Student Affairs, we are supported by Kent State’s mission statement which speaks specifically to helping students “attain the knowledge and skills necessary for responsible citizenship and productive careers.”

This institutional goal is evident through multiple, cross-divisional collaborations with our office including support at the vice-president, dean, and department director level. Collaboration with our Division of Corporate & Foundation Relations has increased significantly in the past year as we work at a university level to enhance our corporate relationships that benefit both students and faculty, as well as garner support for the university.

I do believe we are now at a crossroads where a culture shift needs to occur and the Board of Regents can help provide the leadership necessary to redefine our expectations for the college experience in Ohio. We can no longer afford to only be concerned about retention to graduation, but now our focus must also include a concern for how the college experience can prepare our graduates for productive careers after college. For this culture shift to occur, I would like to share the following recommendations and observations:

1. **First and foremost, we need to increase student awareness for choosing CAREER FIRST, THEN MAJOR.** As part of the admission process, incoming students are programmed to think in terms of major selection and neglect to consider their career goals. It isn’t until their senior year when they are in the midst of a job search that they recognize their lack of foresight.

   *In fact, our exploratory (undecided) major continues to be the most popular “major” for incoming freshmen. Kent State recently implemented a new requirement that students must declare a degree granting major by their 45th credit hour (fourth semester), and also added additional interventions supported by academic advisors within the academic unit for undecided students. National studies show that even though students may declare a major, over 50% will change majors at least once. In fact, statistics show most will change majors two or three times over the course of their college career.*

2. **Career preparation activities need to be imbedded, if not required, within each college year.** We need to continue to take a bold stance on “all things career” and work to create a campus culture and eco-system that expects students to employ a sense of urgency for refining career goals and the steps necessary to reach them. We believe that the career exploration and decision-making process should undergird a student’s choice of major and are prerequisite to undertaking successful career preparation and job search activities.

   *Last year, only 14% of the students we served were freshmen; however, 99% of students visiting our office would recommend our services to others. Activities such as crafting a resume and building a professional, online profile can be effective ways to connect students with the career services center. Currently, an enormous amount of staff time is spent on marketing our services that could otherwise be spent in one-on-one student contact.*

3. **We need to differentiate staff roles for students seeking academic versus career planning support.** There has been a growing increase in the number of academic advisors hired whose expertise is with curricular requirements. Career counselors maintain an expertise in career planning and decision-making processes, workforce trends, resume/interview prep, job search strategies, and employer relationships. We must continue to look for ways to promote and clarify our roles for students, appropriately fund needed resources, and effectively partner within our specialized areas.

   *A 2010 survey by the National Association of Colleges and Employers indicated that “The likelihood of a student getting a job offer increased with the frequency of career center use and also resulted in higher median salaries of more than $5,700 compared to those who never went to career services.”*

4. **We must better clarify and streamline centralized versus decentralized career service delivery.** As retention gets more emphasis, college deans are hiring additional staff to support recruitment and retention efforts; however, support for additional staff in career services has not grown in tandem. Although well intentioned, decentralized services can become confusing for students, staff and employers as to the unique role each office serves.
Currently, our student to career counselor ratio is 6,000 to one, compared to a recent national survey which indicated a ratio of approximately 2,000 to one. In addition, 85% of higher education institutions embrace the centralized model for delivering career services.

5. **While accelerated degree completion options allow students to acquire a college degree in less time, students are often unequipped to make career decisions.** Earlier and frequent career conversations are more crucial than ever at both the high school level and throughout the college experience.

6. **Ideally, the high school college prep curriculum standards should incorporate setting career goals along with the college admissions process.** We find that high school students and their families are desirous of more information regarding career options; however, once a student is on campus, they become easily distracted by other obligations.

   *While we have responded to an average of 5-10 email inquiries per week from prospective and admitted students, our ability to stretch further is limited by staff resources otherwise devoted to the enrolled college student population.*

7. **We must recognize the growing demand to meet the needs of specialized populations and the impact on our resources.** These students include distance learners, international students, students in graduate programs, veterans, those with disabilities, and a growing number of returning alumni who have been in the workforce.

   *Each population presents unique needs and associated career counselor competencies.*

8. **While there is important value in gathering graduate outcomes, it is important for decision makers to recognize the difficulty in both locating graduates as well as prompting them to provide personal information. In addition, the renewed use of the term “placement” suggests that career centers are responsible for ensuring gainful employment for recent graduates rather than empowering students to take charge of their job search and recognizing that employers are ultimately in control of their hiring decisions.**

   *In a recent survey of career centers, only 3% include the word “placement” in their title. While we are here to teach and support students, we cannot do the “work” for them.*

9. **The Provost’s office at Kent State, not Career Services, oversees obtaining graduate outcomes data through an online, annual survey of alumni with a statistically significant participation rate. This survey has been conducted for the past 18 years and also assesses graduate satisfaction with the educational experience, the nature of their co-curricular learning experiences, and sense of preparedness for the work-force.**

   *The National Association of Colleges and Employers is in the process of soliciting feedback for establishing national standards and protocols for the collection and dissemination of graduating student career outcomes. While they recommend that career services play an integral role in this process, employment outcomes should be of equal, if not greater concern to our academic leadership with potential implications for the curriculum and helping to build a more holistic approach toward a shared accountability for graduate career outcomes.*

   *In addition, the new, federal College Scorecard, designed to help empower students and families with more transparent information about college costs and employment outcomes, will only increase the need to garner outcomes, especially salary data.*

10. **Finally, there is a national trend towards measuring the value of a degree through workforce readiness assessments.** While well intentioned, the goal of these surveys is to assess competencies in the behaviors and attitudes needed to be successful in the workplace such as “responsibility, creativity, and sociability.” Future implications of these assessments may include new certificates for workforce readiness and may create new expectations for the college experience and employability standards in the minds of employers.

   *A recent series of reports by ACT evaluates the work readiness of the US population based on ACT’s analysis of the skills needs in America’s top jobs and industries. Each report concerns the role of skills in today’s job market and the growing importance they play in workplace success. Educational Testing Services and Gallup are also finalizing new instruments for measuring workplace readiness.*