

2015

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Youngstown State University

Institution Profile

(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Youngstown State University, an urban research university, emphasizes a creative, integrated approach to education, scholarship, and service. The University places students at its center; leads in the discovery, dissemination, and application of knowledge; advances civic, scientific, and technological development; and fosters collaboration to enrich the region and the world. Youngstown State University is accredited by the Higher Learning Commission and is a member of the North Central Association.

Beeghly College of Education

The Beeghly College of Education serves eastern Ohio, western Pennsylvania and beyond, teachers, counselors, school psychologists; providing professional development for practitioners; offering developmental education programs to YSU students; and providing educational services to young people with autism through the Rich Center for Autism and Transition Opportunities in Post-secondary Settings. The College maintains partnerships with public schools in Austintown, Campbell, Liberty, Youngstown, and other school districts in the region.

Report Overview

The Ohio Department of Higher Education gathers data annually from multiple sources to report the following performance metrics in the Educator Preparation Provider Performance Reports:

- Ohio Teacher Evaluation System Results for Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Ohio Principal Evaluation System Results for Ohio Principals Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Field and Clinical Experiences Required by Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Candidates
- Licensure Test Results for Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Program Completers
- Value-added Data for K-12 Students Taught by Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Demographic Information for Schools in Which Ohio Educator Preparation Provider-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve
- Academic Measures Used to Inform Admissions Decisions at Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Pre-Service Teacher Candidates Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Ohio Resident Educators Who Were Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers
- Survey Results of Ohio Principal Interns Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Mentors Serving Principal Interns Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Ohio Educator Preparation Provider National Accreditation Status
- Persistence in the Ohio Resident Educator Program of Teachers Who Were Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers
- Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

**Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Results for Ohio Teachers Prepared by an
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider at Youngstown State University**

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015
(Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

February 2016 Note: Ohio Teacher Evaluation System results for the 2015 Report are not yet available. Revised Educator Preparation Performance Reports will be published when these data become available.

Ohio's system for evaluating teachers (Ohio's Teacher Evaluation System) provides educators with a detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement. The system is research-based and designed to be transparent, fair, and adaptable to the specific contexts of Ohio's school districts. Furthermore, it builds on what educators know about the importance of ongoing assessment and feedback as a powerful vehicle to support improved practice. Teacher performance and student academic growth are the two key components of Ohio's evaluation system.

Limitations of the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Data:

1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014.
2. The teacher evaluation data in this report are provided by the Ohio Department of Education.
3. Due to Ohio law, results must be masked for institutions with fewer than 10 completers with OTES data.

Associated Teacher Evaluation Classifications				
Initial Licensure Effective Year	# Ineffective	# Developing	# Skilled	# Accomplished
2011	N<10	N<10	31	20
2012	N<10	11	47	49
2013	N<10	17	70	34
2014	N<10	N<10	38	28

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

**Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) Results for Individuals Completing
Principal Preparation Programs at Youngstown State University**

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015
(Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

February 2016 Note: Ohio Principal Evaluation System results for the 2015 Report are not yet available. Revised Educator Preparation Performance Reports will be published when these data become available.

Ohio's system for evaluating principals (Ohio's Principal Evaluation System) provides building leaders with a detail view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement.

The Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) data reported here are limited in that the information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014.

Associated Principal Evaluation Classifications				
Initial Licensure Effective Year	# Ineffective	# Developing	# Skilled	# Accomplished
2011	NA	NA	N<10	NA
2012	NA	N<10	N<10	N<10
2013	NA	NA	NA	N<10
2014	NA	NA	N<10	NA

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Field and Clinical Experiences for Candidates at Youngstown State University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015
(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that educator candidates complete field and clinical experiences in school settings as part of their preparation. These experiences include early and ongoing field-based opportunities and the culminating pre-service clinical experience commonly referred to as "student teaching." The specific requirements beyond the requisite statewide minimums for these placements vary by institution and by program. The information below is calculated based on data reported by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers.

Teacher Preparation Programs	
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements
Require edTPA National Scoring from candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	Y
Minimum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	95
Maximum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	539
Average number of weeks required to teach full-time within the student teaching experience at the institution	16
Percentage of teacher candidates who satisfactorily completed student teaching	94.05%

Principal Preparation Programs	
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements
Total number of field/clinical weeks required of principal candidates in internship	16
Number of candidates admitted to internship	22
Number of candidates completing internship	22
Percentage of principal candidates who satisfactorily completed internship	100%

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Ohio Educator Licensure Examination Pass Rates at Youngstown State University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014
(Data Source: USDOE Title II Report)

Description of Data:

Ohio educator licensure requirements include passage of all requisite licensure examinations at the state determined cut score. The reported results reflect Title II data, and therefore represent pass rate data solely for initial licenses.

Further, because the data are gathered from the Title II reports, there is a one year lag in accessing the data. Teacher licensure pass rate data are the only reported metric for which the data do not reflect the reporting year 2014-2015.

Teacher Licensure Tests	
Summary Rating: Effective	
Completers Tested	Pass Rate
172	100%

Ohio Principal Licensure Examination Pass Rates at Youngstown State University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015
(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that principal candidates pass the requisite state examination to be recommended for licensure. The 2014-2015 program completer pass rates are reported by each Ohio educator preparation provider.

Principal Licensure Tests	
Completers Tested	Pass Rate
28	75%

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Value-Added Data for Students Taught by Teachers Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers at Youngstown State University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015

Description of Data:

February 2016 Note: Value-Added results for the 2015 Report are not yet available. Revised Educator Preparation Performance Reports will be published when these data become available.

Ohio's value-added data system provides information on student academic gains. As a vital component of Ohio's accountability system, districts and educators have access to an extensive array of diagnostic data through the Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS). Schools can demonstrate through value-added data that many of their students are achieving significant progress. Student growth measures also provide students and parents with evidence of the impact of their efforts. Educators and schools further use value-added data to inform instructional practices.

Limitations of the Value-Added Data:

1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014.
2. The value-added data in this report are those reported by Ohio's Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS) based on reading and mathematics achievement tests in grades 4-8.
3. For Educator Preparation Providers with fewer than 10 linked teachers or principals with value-added data, only the number (N) is reported.

Value-Added Data for Youngstown State University-Prepared Teachers

Initial Licensure Effective Years 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014		Associated Value-Added Classifications				
Employed as Teachers	Teachers with Value-Added Data	Most Effective	Above Average	Average	Approaching Average	Least Effective
342	128	N=27 21%	N=10 8%	N=34 27%	N=25 20%	N=32 25%

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Demographic Information for Schools where Youngstown State University-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve

Teachers Serving by School Level

Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Type
N=37	N=47	N=5	N=39	NA
29%	37%	4%	30%	NA

Teachers Serving by School Type

Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center
N=12	N=116	NA	NA
9%	91%	NA	NA

Teachers Serving by Overall Letter Grade of Building Value-Added

A	B	C	D	F	NR
N=55	N=2	N=7	N=3	N=46	N=15
43%	2%	5%	2%	36%	12%

Teachers Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles

High Minority	Medium-High Minority	Medium-Low Minority	Low Minority
N=36	N=43	N=21	N=28
28%	34%	16%	22%

Teachers Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles

High Poverty	Medium-High Poverty	Medium-Low Poverty	Low Poverty
N=32	N=54	N=32	N=10
25%	42%	25%	8%

* Due to the preliminary nature of the data and staffing at ESC/district level, certain demographic variables have not been reported for some schools.

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Value-Added Data for Youngstown State University-Prepared Principals

Initial Licensure Effective Years 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014		Principals Serving by Letter Grade of Overall Building Value-Added					
Employed as Principals	Principals with Value-Added Data	A	B	C	D	F	NR
11	10	N=2 20%	NA NA	N=1 10%	NA NA	N=4 40%	N=3 30%

Demographic Information for Schools where Youngstown State University-Prepared Principals with Value-Added Data Serve

Principals Serving by School Level				
Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Type
N=5	N=1	N=1	N=3	NA
50%	10%	10%	30%	NA

Principals Serving by School Type			
Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center
NA	N=10	NA	NA
NA	100%	NA	NA

Principals Serving by Overall Letter Grade of School					
A	B	C	D	F	NR
Not Available Until 2018					

Principals Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles			
High Minority	Medium-High Minority	Medium-Low Minority	Low Minority
N=1	N=5	N=3	N=1
10%	50%	30%	10%

Principals Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles			
High Poverty	Medium-High Poverty	Medium-Low Poverty	Low Poverty
N=1	N=4	N=4	N=1
10%	40%	40%	10%

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Youngstown State University Candidate Academic Measures

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015
(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Description of Data:

The data in this section reflect provider practices in making admission decisions based on applicant performance on assessments and other indicators considered to be predictive of future academic and professional success. In the "Academic Measures" portion of this section, if a particular measure is not applicable to a particular level of delivery (undergraduate, post-baccalaureate, graduate) the table reflects "NA". In the "Dispositional Assessments and Other Measures" portion of this section, if the provider did not indicate using a particular measure, OR if the institution does not offer a program at the designated level of delivery, the table reflects "N".

Teacher Preparation Programs

U=Undergraduate P=Post-Baccalaureate G=Graduate

		Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
ACT Composite Score	U=17 P=17 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=838 P=169 G=NA	U=20.36 P=20.55 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA
ACT English Subscore	U=18 P=18 G=NA	U=31 P=N<10 G=NA	U=21.87 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=143 P=N<10 G=NA	U=20.22 P=N<10 G=NA
ACT Math Subscore	U=22 P=22 G=NA	U=35 P=N<10 G=NA	U=24.41 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=143 P=N<10 G=NA	U=22.09 P=N<10 G=NA
ACT Reading Subscore	U=21 P=21 G=NA	U=23 P=N<10 G=NA	U=23.7 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=143 P=N<10 G=NA	U=21.18 P=N<10 G=NA
GPA - Graduate	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
GPA - High School	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
GPA - Transfer	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
GPA - Undergraduate	U=2.75 P=2.75 G=NA	U=154 P=10 G=NA	U=3.47 P=3.66 G=NA	U=1060 P=254 G=NA	U=2.96 P=3.3 G=NA	U=178 P=N<10 G=NA	U=3.45 P=N<10 G=NA
GRE Composite Score	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
GRE Quantitative Subscore	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
GRE Verbal Subscore	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
GRE Writing Subscore	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
MAT	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
Praxis CORE Math	U=150 P=150 G=NA	U=25 P=N<10 G=NA	U=163 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

		Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
Praxis CORE Reading	U=156 P=156 G=NA	U=22 P=N<10 G=NA	U=169 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA
Praxis CORE Writing	U=162 P=162 G=NA	U=12 P=N<10 G=NA	U=165 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA
Praxis I Math	U=172 P=NA G=NA	U=12 P=NA G=NA	U=174 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA
Praxis I Reading	U=173 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA
Praxis I Writing	U=172 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA	U=N<10 P=NA G=NA
Praxis II	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
SAT Composite Score	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA	U=NA P=NA G=NA
SAT Quantitative Subscore	U=520 P=520 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=109 P=25 G=NA	U=469.45 P=511.2 G=NA	U=18 P=N<10 G=NA	U=527.22 P=N<10 G=NA
SAT Verbal Subscore	U=450 P=450 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=109 P=25 G=NA	U=470.28 P=521.6 G=NA	U=18 P=N<10 G=NA	U=546.11 P=N<10 G=NA
SAT Writing Subscore	U=430 P=430 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=NA	U=106 P=18 G=NA	U=459.43 P=514.71 G=NA	U=18 P=N<10 G=NA	U=526.47 P=N<10 G=NA
Other Criteria		Undergraduate		Post-Baccalaureate		Graduate	
Dispositional Assessment		Y		Y		N	
EMPATHY/Omaha Interview		N		N		N	
Essay		N		N		N	
High School Class Rank		NA		NA		NA	
Interview		Y		Y		N	
Letter of Commitment		N		N		N	
Letter of Recommendation		N		N		N	
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator		NA		N		N	
Portfolio		N		N		N	
Prerequisite Courses		Y		Y		N	
SRI Teacher Perceiver		NA		NA		N	
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship		NA		NA		N	
Teacher Insight		N		N		N	

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Principal Preparation Programs

		Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
GPA - Undergraduate	3	12	3.25	39	3.2	22	3.31
GPA - Graduate	3	12	3.92	39	3.91	22	3.94
Praxis I Reading	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ACT Reading Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Praxis II	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ACT Composite Score	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SAT Composite Score	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SAT Writing Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ACT Math Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GRE Writing Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GRE Verbal Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GPA - High School	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ACT English Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GRE Composite Score	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SAT Quantitative Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SAT Verbal Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Praxis I Writing	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Praxis I Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GRE Quantitative Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other Criteria							
Dispositional Assessment				N			
EMPATHY/Omaha Interview				N			
Essay				Y			

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Other Criteria	
Interview	N
Letter of Commitment	N
Letter of Recommendation	Y
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator	N
Portfolio	N
Prerequisite Courses	N
SRI Teacher Perceiver	N
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship	Y
Teacher Insight	N

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Pre-Service Teacher Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015

Description of Data:

To gather information on student satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio candidates receive an invitation to complete the survey during their professional internship (student teaching). The results of this survey are reflected here. A total of 4,055 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 70 percent.

Youngstown State University Survey Response Rate = 100%

Total Survey Responses = 177

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.41	3.49
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.23	3.34
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.32	3.36
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.41	3.47
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.36	3.41
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	3.51	3.61
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	3.34	3.46
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	3.38	3.49
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	3.46	3.53
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	3.25	3.43
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.38	3.39
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.50	3.59
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.31	3.35
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.53	3.57
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication	3.39	3.54

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
	with families and caregivers.		
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.69	3.66
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.48	3.53
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate high expectations for all students.	3.64	3.64
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students, diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.41	3.49
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.72	3.71
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.36	3.39
22	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.40	3.50
23	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.50	3.50
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	3.29	3.22
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	3.13	3.06
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Ohio Resident Educator Program.	2.97	2.97
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.37	3.31
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	3.27	3.19
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	3.60	3.59
30	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	2.94	2.96
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.57	3.65
32	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.54	3.43
33	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.71	3.69
34	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.77	3.67
35	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.71	3.62
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.60	3.51
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.51	3.48

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.42	3.30
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	3.44	3.32
40	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.52	3.36
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.60	3.64
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.51	3.52
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.64	3.62
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.53	3.52
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.53	3.51
46	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.66	3.66
47	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.49	3.42
48	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	3.25	3.24
49	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.44	3.42

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Statewide Survey of OHIO Resident Educators' Reflections on their Educator Preparation Program

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015

Description of Data:

To gather information on alumni satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio Resident Educators who completed their preparation in Ohio receive an invitation to complete the survey in the fall semester as they enter Year 2 of the Resident Educator program. A total of 650 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 11 percent.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.27	3.47
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.18	3.29
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.36	3.32
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.23	3.40
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.05	3.35
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	3.27	3.41
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	3.27	3.41
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	3.27	3.41
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	3.27	3.41
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	3.27	3.41
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.32	3.31
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.36	3.43
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.14	3.28
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.32	3.45

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	3.18	3.42
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.59	3.55
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.36	3.43
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.23	3.36
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.64	3.59
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.27	3.31
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.45	3.43
22	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.36	3.41
23	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	3.09	3.10
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	2.82	2.76
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	2.82	2.76
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.32	3.22
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	3.00	3.06
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	3.32	3.31
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	2.91	2.75
30	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.45	3.53
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.41	3.33
32	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.55	3.54
33	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.59	3.51
34	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.64	3.52
35	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.36	3.34
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.27	3.33
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.09	3.25

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	2.95	3.26
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.09	3.27
40	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.23	3.55
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.27	3.47
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.27	3.53
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.18	3.43
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.18	3.42
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.32	3.60
46	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.27	3.34
47	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	3.00	3.22
48	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.32	3.38
49	My teacher licensure program provided prepared me with the knowledge and skills necessary to enter the classroom as a Resident Educator.	3.14	3.27

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Principal Intern Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015

Description of Data:

To gather information the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation providers, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 255 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 29 percent.

Youngstown State University Survey Response Rate = 90.91%

Total Survey Responses = 10

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My program prepared me to lead and facilitate continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	3.50	3.52
2	My program prepared me to lead the processes of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	3.50	3.48
3	My program prepared me to anticipate, monitor, and respond to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	3.20	3.51
4	My program prepared me to lead instruction.	3.70	3.49
5	My program prepared me to ensure the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (e.g. national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	3.50	3.41
6	My program prepared me to ensure effective instructional practices meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	3.50	3.52
7	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of data by self and staff.	3.60	3.61
8	My program prepared me to advocate for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	3.60	3.53
9	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of research by self and staff.	3.70	3.55
10	My program prepared me to support staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development and instructional practices.	3.50	3.56
11	My program prepared me to establish and maintain procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	3.70	3.59
12	My program prepared me to establish and maintain a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	3.50	3.56
13	My program prepared me to allocate resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	3.40	3.45
14	My program prepared me to uphold and model professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct	3.60	3.63
15	My program prepared me to share leadership with staff, students, parents, and community members.	3.70	3.65
16	My program prepared me to establish effective working teams and developing structures for	3.60	3.61

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
	collaboration between teachers and educational support personnel.		
17	My program prepared me to foster positive professional relationships among staff.	3.60	3.63
18	My program prepared me to support and advance the leadership capacity of educators.	3.60	3.60
19	My program prepared me to utilize good communication skills, both verbal and written, with all stakeholder audiences.	3.70	3.67
20	My program prepared me to connect the school with the community through print and electronic media.	3.30	3.40
21	My program prepared me to involve parents and communities in improving student learning.	3.60	3.57
22	My program prepared me to use community resources to improve student learning.	3.50	3.47
23	My program prepared me to establish expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	3.50	3.51

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Principal Internship Mentor Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015

Description of Data:

To gather information the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to individuals who serve as mentors to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 63 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 21 percent.

Youngstown State University Survey Response Rate = 8.33%

Total Survey Responses = 1

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading and facilitating continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	N<10	3.24
2	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading the process of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	N<10	3.35
3	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand anticipating, monitoring, and responding to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	N<10	3.29
4	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand ensuring the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (i.e., national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	N<10	3.23
5	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understandEnsuring effective instructional practices that meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	N<10	3.23
6	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand advocating for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities and at-risk students.	N<10	3.35
7	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of data by self and staff.	N<10	3.35
8	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of research by self and staff.	N<10	3.31
9	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand supporting staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development.	N<10	3.27
10	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	N<10	3.37
11	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	N<10	3.37
12	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand allocating resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	N<10	3.30
13	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand	N<10	3.49

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
	upholding and modeling professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct.		
14	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand connecting the school with the community through print and electronic media.	N<10	3.29
15	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand involving parents and communities in improving student learning.	N<10	3.32
16	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand using community resources to improve student learning.	N<10	3.30
17	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	N<10	3.34
18	The school leader candidate's preparation program provided me with training on how to mentor the school leader candidate.	N<10	2.51
19	I participated in and/or accessed the provided mentor training and/or materials.	N<10	2.84
20	The training by the school leader's preparation program adequately prepared me to mentor the school leader candidate.	N<10	2.13

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

National Accreditation Status

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015
(Data Source: Ohio Department of Higher Education)

Description of Data:

All educator preparation programs (EPPs) in Ohio are required to be accredited by either the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC), or their successor agency, the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). Accreditation is a mechanism to ensure the quality of an institution and its programs. The accreditation of an institution and/or program helps employers evaluate the professional preparation of job applicants.

Accrediting Agency	NCATE
Date of Last Review	March 2010
Accreditation Status	Accredited

2015 Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Youngstown State University

Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2014 to Aug 31, 2015
(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Description of Data:

This section reflects self-reported information from Ohio Educator Preparation Providers on a maximum of three initiatives geared to increase excellence and support innovation in the preparation of Ohio educators.

Teacher Preparation Programs

Initiative:	Special Education Simulation Lab
Purpose:	Provide students with the experience of having a disability in order to increase their understanding of and empathy for individuals with exceptional learning needs.
Goal:	Participants will increase their understanding of a range of disabilities and their empathy for persons with exceptional learning needs.
Number of Participants:	298
Strategy:	The Special Education lab contains eight in-lab simulations (e.g., hearing impairment, visual impairment, orthopedic disability, cognitive disability, speech disability, specific learning disability), and two computer-based simulation activities. Students complete a report forms associated with each simulation.
Demonstration of Impact:	Students consistently report that they appreciate the activities associated with the Special Education Simulation Lab. An evaluation has been developed and will be distributed to participants to better determine the impact of the simulation activities on the students understanding of and empathy for individuals with exceptional learning needs. Based upon candidate and faculty feedback, the Special Education faculty will expand our existing Special Education simulation lab in Spring 2016 by incorporating the most up-to-date assistive technology. Our teacher candidates should have exposure to these assistive tools to further develop their skills, which would improve the education of the students with various types of disabilities
External Recognition:	The James & Coralie Centofanti Charitable Foundation has gifted the Department of Counseling, Special Education, & School Psychology \$14,000 to use to improve the Special Education Lab located on the 2nd Floor of the Beeghly College of Education. This gift will enable the Special Education Program
Programs:	Primarily candidates within the Special Education Program and Teacher Education Programs. However, during 2014-15 there were undergraduates from the following majors outside of the College of Education: Business, Criminal Justice, English, Psychology, Spanish, Social Work, and Vocal.

Initiative:	Teacher Candidate Presentation
Purpose:	To encourage our teacher candidates to become professionally active
Goal:	To present at regional, state, and national conferences
Number of Participants:	8
Strategy:	Faculty members who teach methods courses work with teacher candidates to prepare presentations for regional, state, or national conferences. Faculty members also encourage students to attend conferences.
Demonstration of Impact:	8 presentations, additional 14 attendees
External Recognition:	Presentations recognized by the organizations
Programs:	No

Initiative:	Project PASS
Purpose:	Promote literacy in Youngstown
Goal:	Provide every 2nd grader in Youngstown City Schools with a trained tutor from the College of Education

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Number of Participants:	225
Strategy:	YSU teacher candidates receive training in balanced literacy strategies, and are then paired with Youngstown 2nd graders for weekly tutoring sessions. Materials are provided and workshops are conducted to help teacher candidates engage their students in active learning and adapt instruction to their reading level. Participating teacher candidates also receive a scholarship based on the number of semesters they work in the program.
Demonstration of Impact:	Feedback from Youngstown students, parents, and teachers is enthusiastic, with many noting that attendance improved because children looked forward all week to seeing their tutor.
External Recognition:	Project PASS is supported by a grant from the Ohio Department of Education.

2015
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Principal Preparation Programs

Initiative: YSU Turnaround Principals Leadership Academy

Goal: To expose current administrators and a cohort of aspiring leaders in Youngstown City Schools to the actions and competencies exhibited by "turnaround principals" ? leaders who effect substantial positive changes in student outcomes in schools that are identified as low performing.

Number of Participants: 40

Strategy: The Educational Administration Program at YSU, with funding from the ODE, is partnering with the Youngstown City Schools to provide a Leadership Academy during the 2015-2016 school year. The Academy will deliver an intellectually rigorous and specialized professional development experience to school principals and aspiring leaders. The Academy will: 1) utilizing a Cohort Model that fosters collaborative learning, enhances district relationships, and encourage accountability as principals practice critical review and reflection in a group setting; 2) stimulate dynamic interaction and discussion with educational experts and community leaders who can speak specifically to the needs and challenges of urban education; and 3) examine and reflect on urban education case studies, successful change and school culture initiatives that drive student success and stakeholder engagement. The culminating experience for the participants will be a job-embedded and immediately relevant leadership project designed to expand their current leadership practices, increase their purposeful engagement with school stakeholders, and reflect on their role in the district's turnaround and revitalization.

Demonstration of Impact: The impact of the Academy will be judged using a variety of indicators including: the number of current principals and aspiring leaders who participate in professional development and university courses revolving around effective leadership; changes in knowledge level regarding turnaround principals and their characteristics and strategies; actual modifications in building practices and protocols and individual leadership behaviors consistent those that have been identified to drive student instruction and stakeholder engagement.

Initiative: Aspiring Leaders Partnerships

Goal: To provide an accelerated, off-campus, cohort-based preparation program in partnership with two educational service centers to ensure a pool of highly qualified candidates ready to assume building leadership roles.

Number of Participants: 29

Strategy: After conducting focus group with program graduates currently leading high-performing buildings and collaborating with ESCs officials in Mahoning and Trumbull Counties, YSU launched the Aspiring Leaders Program. The program increased the admissions requirements, including GPA, and the professional accomplishments of candidates as attested to by their district superintendents; adopted a cohort-model to promote collaboration and networking within and across districts; moved delivery to the sites of the ESC partners; and infused clinical activities across this entire 24 month masters+principalship program. Additionally, Advisory Committees composed of superintendents and principals provide continuing oversight and recommendations for curricular inclusions and prospective candidates. Finally, ESC partners welcome participation of Aspiring Leaders in various in-service opportunities intended for current building principals, such as OTES training.

Demonstration of Impact: Preliminary data in the form of candidate quality, course completion, and retention rates; faculty evaluations of candidate work; the ratings of clinical performance by their building administrator mentors, and the 100% who have already earned OTES certification as part of their preparation program, as well as the number who have moved into administrative positions within three months of completing their programs ? all point to the positive benefits of this new model and closer and more formal partnerships with ESCs and the community of practice.