#### Assessment in QR courses

Andrew Tonge Kent State University

#### **QR Fundamentals**

• Why teach QR?

#### **QR** Fundamentals

- Why teach QR?
- How is QR different from other Transfer Module courses?

## **Traditional Math or QR?**

| <b>Traditional Math</b>   | Quantitative Reasoning  |
|---|---|
| Abstract, deductive reasoning   | Practical, robust habit of mind                                     |
| Employed in professions such as sciences, technology, and engineering | Employed in every aspect of an alert, informed life                 |
| Rises above context   | Anchored in context   |
| Objects of study are ideals   | Objects of study are data   |
| Serves primarily professional purposes                                | Is essential for all graduates' personal and civic responsibilities |

Source: *"Quantitative Reasoning: The Next "Across the Curriculum Movement."* By Susan Elrod in Peer Review, Volume 16, Number 3 (2014)

## **QR Fundamentals**

- Why teach QR?
- How is QR different from other Transfer Module courses?
- What makes the QR course "college level"?

## How is QR College Level?

- College level courses *deepen*, *broaden*, and/or *extend* what students have learned in K-12.
- The procedural "math" content of the QR course cannot be viewed as "college level."
- A QR course deepens understanding of math, broadens knowledge of ways to use math, and extends students' ability to effectively use mathematics beyond the classroom.

## **QR Fundamentals**

- Why teach QR?
- How is QR different from other Transfer Module courses?
- What makes the QR course "college level"?
- What should we consider when assessing QR?

## Is this appropriate?

• Compute

 $\frac{2}{3} - \frac{3}{4}$ 

#### Is this enough?

• Compute

$$\frac{2}{3} - \frac{3}{4}$$

## **Working in Context**

 A football player advances 2/3 yard. A second player in the same team advances 3/4 yard.
How many more yards did the second player advance?

## **Assessment Considerations**

If we do this in class or homework:

• A football player advances 2/3 yard. A second player in the same team advances 3/4 yard. How many more yards did the second player advance?

should we ask this on an assessment?

• A football player advances 4/3 yard. A second player in the same team advances 5/4 yard. How many more yards did the second player advance?

- Need to be realistic
  - Working in context is hard
  - Novel contexts are harder
  - Ambiguous contexts are even harder

- Need to be realistic
  - Working in context is hard
  - Novel contexts are harder
  - Ambiguous contexts are even harder
- What should we expect of the students?

- Need to be realistic
  - Working in context is hard
  - Novel contexts are harder
  - Ambiguous contexts are even harder
- What should we expect of the students?

- Need to be realistic
  - Working in context is hard
  - Novel contexts are harder
  - Ambiguous contexts are even harder
- What should we expect of the students?
- Important objective: *develop a practical, robust habit of mind*

- Need to be realistic
  - Working in context is hard
  - Novel contexts are harder
  - Ambiguous contexts are even harder
- What should we expect of the students?
- Important objective: *develop a practical, robust habit of mind*
- Traditional assessments can subvert this objective

## **Assessing What?**

- The goal for students:
  - to identify the "math" they need to use in novel and possibly ambiguous contexts
  - to develop "productive persistence"
  - to use the "math" correctly
  - communicate coherently about what they are doing or have done

## **Assessment Challenges**

- Grading ambiguous and/or open ended assignments can be tough and time consuming
  - How can you be consistent in assigning points when different students or groups take different approaches?
- Assessing coherent communication isn't most math instructors' cup of tea

- How do we even start? Give them all an A?

# The Case for Qualitative Assessments (Some of the Time)

- Take a look at the VALUE rubric produced by AACU
- This is an attempt to deal consistently with some of the assessment challenges facing QR instructors
- Would something like this work for you?

## Consider Measuring and Rewarding Progress

- Consider using tools like Eric Gaze's (<u>egaze@bowdoin.edu</u>) QLRA (Quantitative Literacy Reasoning Assessment) as a pre-post measure of progress
- Consider rewarding progress on such an assessment
- What is more important: where a student arrives, or how a student gets there?

## **Things to Consider**

- One of the reasons to teach QR is to help in the process of transforming attitudes from "no, I can't" to "yes, I can" and on to "yes, I do"
- As much as is reasonable, consider assessments that reward achievements rather than punish deficiencies

## **Things to Consider**

- Value collaborative work
- Reward progress
  - Consider "cumulative grades" in some circumstances
- Value quality over quantity
  - Structure assessments so there are fewer, but deeper problems
  - Consider grading using rubrics like VALUE in some circumstances